



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/053,477

01/15/2002

Yasumasa Nakajima

MIPEP001

3715

25920

7590

04/01/2008

MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP

710 LAKEWAY DRIVE

SUITE 200

SUNNYVALE, CA 94085

EXAMINER

QUIETT, CARRAMAH J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2622

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/01/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/053,477	NAKAJIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carramah J. Quiett	2622	

All Participants:

(1) Carramah J. Quiett, Patent Examiner.

(2) Peter B. Martine, Applicants' Attorney.

Status of Application: FINAL REJECTION MAILED

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: March 26, 2008

Time: 2:25 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

NONE

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On September 17, 2007, a Final Office Action was mailed to the Applicants' Attorney. On March 25, 2008 (over 6 months later), the Examiner called the Attorney to discuss the status of the present application. On March 26, 2008, The Attorney returned the Examiner's call regarding the status of the present application. The Attorney stated that the Applicants have decided not to file a response to the Final Office Action. Instead, they have filed a continuation (11/985,438). As a result, the present application will receive an abandonment office action.